## DIMETHYLSULFOXIDE ADDUCTS WITH CHLORINATED LEWIS ACIDS AND WITH CHLORINE: NMR INVESTIGATIONS

J.R. GAUVREAU, S. POIGNANT and G.J. MARTIN

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique Physique Equipe de Recherche Associée au CNRS nº 315 Faculté des Sciences - 44072 NANTES CEDEX

 $\frac{\text{Abstract}}{\text{reaction}} : \text{The structure and mechanism of formation of the ionic species formed in the reaction of dimethylsulfoxide with COCl2, POCl3, PCl5, Cl2CHOCH3, (CH3)2N=CHCl^+, Cl^- or OPOCl2^-, and Cl2 are studied by proton, carbon and phosphorus NMR.}$ 

Lewis bases such as carboxamides, phosphoramides and sulfoxides are known to act as catalysers in halogenation reactions using acid chlorides or chlorine. The carboxamides have been extensively used, and usually react with acid chlorides to give chloroiminium salts (1a) which are powerful chlorination agents (1b). Hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPT) has been tried out in the catalysis of chlorination reactions in some specific cases (2a), and recently, chlorophosphonium salts were proved to be the active intermediates of those reactions (2b, c). Sulfoxides and namely, dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) were claimed to facilitate the chlorination of alcohols (3a) with thionyl chloride and other acid chlorides (3b). A number of sulfur-containing salts were then postulated to be intermediates in the chlorination reaction, but their structures have not been definitively proved. Using proton and carbon NMR spectroscopies, we have established the structure of salts formed in the reaction of DMSO 1 with acid chlorides 2, dichloromethylmethylether 3, chloroiminium salts 4 and chlorine 5.

$$\underline{2}$$
 :  $COCl_2$   $\underline{2a}$ ,  $POCl_3$   $\underline{2b}$ ,  $PCl_5$   $\underline{2c}$ 
 $\underline{4}$  :  $(CH_3)_2 N = CHCl^+$ ,  $Cl^ \underline{4a}$  or  $OPOCl_3^ \underline{4b}$ 

The NMR results, i.e. proton and carbon chemical shifts and one-bond carbon-proton coupling constants are given in the Table.

The acid chlorides give chlorosulfonium salts  $\underline{6}$  (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SC1, Cl  $\underline{6a}$ ), OPOCl<sub>2</sub>  $\underline{(6b)}$ , PCl<sub>6</sub>  $\underline{(6c)}$  according to whether COCl<sub>2</sub>, POCl<sub>3</sub> or PCl<sub>5</sub> is used in the reaction. It should be noted that the compound  $\underline{6c}$  is obtained with an excess of PCl<sub>5</sub> from POCl<sub>3</sub> elimination; moreover another salt (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SC1, Clo  $\underline{(6d)}$  which is formed in a small proportion from PCl<sub>3</sub> elimination is also evidenced.

Above 273 K, the sulfonium salts  $\underline{6}$  are unstable and rearrange into chloromethylmethylsulfide 7b via a Pummerer transposition :

$$s = 0 + Bc1$$
  $= s - 0 - B - c1$   $= s - c1, oB^{-}$   $= cH_3 - s - cH_2c1 + HoB$   $= cH_3 - cH_3 - cH_3c1$   $= c$ 

It is interesting to note that dichloromethylmethylether 3 and chlorominium salts 4 react in the same way with DMSO to yield the chlorosulfonium salt 6a or 6b and methylformiate or N,N-dimethylformamide respectively. The structure of these salts is inferred from the observation of their NMR spectra which show a behaviour comparable to those of iminium (4) and phosphonium salts (2c). The signals of 6a (or 6b) in the undecoupled carbon spectra appear as a quartet, denoting methyl groups. The proton and carbon chemical shifts and the  $^1J^{13}C-H$  values increase in 6a with respect to the corresponding values observed in  $(CH_3)_2S$  (7a) as expected from the electron deficiency of the sulfur atom in the salt.

The products obtained in the reaction of chlorine with DMSO are manifold and their relative proportion depends on the DMSO/Cl $_2$  ratio. When an excess of <u>la</u> is used, two different kinds of products are observed: the first series of compounds corresponds to oxidized forms of sulfur such as DMSO, HCl <u>lb</u>, CH $_3$ SO $_2$ CH $_3$  <u>lc</u>, CH $_3$ SO $_2$ SCH $_3$  <u>ld</u>, CH $_3$ SO $_2$ CCl <u>le</u>. The second group of products contains alkylsulfides and chloroalkylsulfides CH $_3$ -S-CH $_3$  <u>7a</u>, CH $_3$ -S-CH $_2$ Cl <u>7b</u>, CH $_3$ -S-CHCl $_2$  <u>7c</u>, CH $_3$ -S-CCl $_3$  <u>7d</u>, CH $_2$ Cl-S-CH $_2$ Cl <u>7e</u>. These various compounds originate from a sequence of disproportionation reactions of the unstable adduct (CH $_3$ ) $_2$ S-O..Cl, Cl $_3$  and of its degradation products, as suggested some time ago (5) in the case of the reaction of Br $_2$  with  $_3$ -N methylsulfonium salt <u>6e</u> is finally obtained in the last sequence of reactions

The chloroalkylsulfide  $\overline{7b}$  is readily oxidized to the sulfide sulfone  $\overline{1d}$  by SMSO (6). When an excess of chlorine is used with  $\underline{1a}$ , the formation of polychloroalkylsulfides is observed.

The screening constants of the proton and carbon atoms belonging to the methyl group A in compounds  $\underline{1}$  normally decrease in the series. However, it is interesting to note that, in compounds  $\underline{7}$ , the proton resonance undergoes a paramagnetic shift in the series but the  $\delta^{13}\mathrm{C}$  values of the methyl groups seem to behave irregularly. This observation could be tentatively explained by the influence of the excess of chlorine in the solution on the basic methyl groups in  $\underline{7b}$ ,  $\underline{7c}$ ,  $\underline{7d}$ .

Compounds		δ <sup>1</sup> H (ppm/TMS)		δ <sup>13</sup> C (ppm/TMS)		<sup>1</sup> J <sup>13</sup> C-H (Hz)	
N°	Formula	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)
<u>1a</u>	CH <sub>3</sub> -SO-CH <sub>3</sub> (A) (A)	2.50	-	40.4	_	138	-
<u>1b</u>	CH <sub>3</sub> -SO-CH <sub>3</sub> , HCl	2.87	-	37.2	-	141	-
<u>1c</u>	CH <sub>3</sub> -SO <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>3</sub> (A) (A)	2.97	-	42.6	-	138	-
<u>1d</u>	CH <sub>3</sub> -SO <sub>2</sub> -SCH <sub>3</sub>	3.17	2.60	49.2	18.3	141	143
<u>1e</u>	CH <sub>3</sub> -SO <sub>2</sub> C1	3.65	-	52.8	_	143	-
<u>6a</u>	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> S-C1, C1	2.9	-	24.9	_	146	-
<u>6b</u>	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> S-Cl, OPOCl <sub>2</sub> a (A) + -	2.9	_	24.5	-	146	-
<u>6c</u>	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> S-Cl, PCl <sub>6</sub> a	3.0	*	24.5	-	146	-
<u>6d</u>	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> S-C1, Clo	3.1	-	21.5	-	146	-
<u>6e</u>	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> S-CH <sub>3</sub> , C1 (A)	3.0	-	24.2	<u></u>	146	~
<u>7a</u>	CH <sub>3</sub> -S-CH <sub>3</sub> (A) (A)	2.05	-	18.0	-	138 b	-
<u>7b</u>	CH <sub>3</sub> -S-CH <sub>2</sub> Cl (A) (B)	2.25	4.65	15.0	52.0	140 <i>b</i>	165 b
<u>7c</u>	CH <sub>3</sub> -S-CHCl <sub>2</sub>	2.40	6.70	14.0	75.7	142 c	188 đ
<u>7đ</u>	CH <sub>3</sub> -S-CCl <sub>3</sub> (A) (B)	2.60	~	22.2	107.3	140	- e
<u>7e</u>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl-S-CH <sub>2</sub> Cl (B) (B)	-	4.70	-	46.0	-	165 <i>b</i>

TABLE - NMR parameters of sulfoxides, sulfones, sulfides and chlorosulfonium salts. (Positive values of shifts indicate a low screening with respect to that of TMS) Conditions: solvent  $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}$  or  $\mathrm{CDCl_3}$ , concentration  $\underline{c} = 2 \; \mathrm{mol.l}^{-1}$  temperature 273 K or 298 K, cell 8 mm (no degassing).  $^1\mathrm{H}$  and  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  spectra were recorded at 2.4 T in the CW mode ( $^1\mathrm{H}$ : sweep rate

 $^{1}\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  spectra were recorded at 2.4 T in the CW mode ( $^{1}\text{H}$ : sweep rate 500 Hz s $^{-1}$ , B<sub>1</sub> intensity 50 dB) or in the FT mode ( $^{13}\text{C}$  flip angle 15.10 $^{-6}\text{s}$ , 90° flip angle 45 10 $^{-6}\text{s}$ , acquisition time 0.8 s, 8192 data points, FID multiplication SE 0.6).

a:  $\delta^{31}$ P/H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> OPOCl<sub>2</sub> = -8 ppm, PCl<sub>6</sub> = -290 ppm. b, c, d, e:  ${}^{3}$ J<sup>13</sup>CNH = 5 Hz, 4 Hz, 6 Hz, 7 Hz. The salts were synthesized according to the general procedure described previously (1a): For  ${\rm COCl}_2$ ,  ${\rm POCl}_3$ ,  ${\rm PCl}_5$ , dichloromethylmethylether, a solution of acid in  ${\rm CH}_2{\rm Cl}_2$  is added slowly under dry nitrogen atmosphere to the DMSO in the same solvent cooled at 273 K. For chlorominium salts and chlorine a solution of the acide species in  ${\rm CH}_2{\rm Cl}_2$  is previously prepared and then DMSO is added.

## REFERENCES

- 1 a) G. Martin, G. Ricolleau, S. Poignant and M.L. Martin, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II, 182, 1976 and references quoted herein.
  - b) H.G. Viehe, H. Bohme (Eds), Iminium Salts in Organic Chemistry. Part. 1, Wiley, New-York 1976.
- 2 a) C. Anselmi, G. Berti, B. Macchia, F. Macchia and L. Monti, Tetrahedron Lett., 1209, (1972).
  - b) J.R. Dormoy and B. Castro, Tetrahedron Lett. 3321 (1979).
  - c) S. Poignant, J.R. Gauvreau and G.J. Martin, Can. J. Chem. in press.
- 3 a) E.J. Corey and C.U. Kim, Tetrahedron Lett. 919, (1973).
  - b) F.G. Bordwell and B.M. Pitt, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 77, 572 (1955).
- 4 Cl. Rabiller, J.P. Renou and G.J. Martin, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II, 536 (1977).
- 5 D. Martin, A. Berger and R. Peschel, J. Prakt. Chem. 312, 683 (1970).
- 6 A. Queen, A.E. Lemire, A.F. Janzen and H.N. Paddon-Row, Can. J. Chem. 56, 2884 (1978).

(Received in France 8 January 1980)